



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/605,688	06/27/2000	Mikio Sasaki	1-47	3751

23400 7590 11/16/2004

POSZ & BETHARDS, PLC  
11250 ROGER BACON DRIVE  
SUITE 10  
RESTON, VA 20190

EXAMINER

PARDO, THUY N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2165	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/605,688

Applicant(s)

SASAKI ET AL.

Examiner

Thuy Pardo

Art Unit

2165

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 15-20, 22-24 and 26-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 15-20, 22-24 and 26-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's Amendment and Request for RCE filed on September 30, 2004 in response to Examiner's Office Action has been reviewed. Claims 14, 21 and 25 have been canceled.
2. Claims 1-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33 are presented for examination.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-13, 15-20, 22-23, and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Hoover et al.** (Hereinafter "Hoover") U.S. Patent No. 5,724,575, in view of **DeLorme et al.** (Hereinafter "DeLorme") U.S. Patent No. 5,948,040.
4. As to claim 1, Hoover teaches an information service system including a plurality of user terminals and a center capable of data communication with said user terminals [a plurality of remotely located user computers and an object broker computer, see col. 5, lines 49-51; ab; fig. 1, 6], said center comprising:

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's Amendment and Request for RCE filed on September 30, 2004 in response to Examiner's Office Action has been reviewed. Claims 14, 21 and 25 have been canceled.
2. Claims 1-27 are presented for examination.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-13, 15-20, 22-23, and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Hoover et al.** (Hereinafter "Hoover") U.S. Patent No. 5,724,575, in view of **DeLorme et al.** (Hereinafter "DeLorme") U.S. Patent No. 5,948,040.
4. As to claim 1, Hoover teaches an information service system including a plurality of user terminals and a center capable of data communication with said user terminals [a plurality of remotely located user computers and an object broker computer, see col. 5, lines 49-51; ab; fig. 1, 6], said center comprising:

database construction means for adding information based on terminal-side information transmitted by said user terminals to an information database [transforms data stored in a plurality of remote, heterogeneous user databases into a homogeneous data model, see the abstract; col. 6, lines 61-64];

retrieval means for retrieving information according to the terminal-side information transmitted by said user terminals based on the information database constructed by said database construction means [col. 6, lines 27-51]; and

distribution means for distributing the information retrieved by said retrieval means as distributed information [col. 6, lines 31-33], said user terminals comprising:

input means for inputting information from a user [col. 11, lines 45-48; col. 30, lines 51-52];

terminal-side information generation means for generating said terminal-side information including at least information input with said input means and the situation information detected by said situation detecting means [col. 30, lines 50 to col. 31, lines 14];

storage and transmission means for storing the terminal-side information [col. 6, lines 21-26] generated by said terminal-side information generation means in memory means and for transmitting it to said center [col. 31, lines 11-14]; and

process execution means for executing a predetermined process based on the distributed information distributed by said center [col. 31, lines 15-29].

However, Hoover does not explicitly teach situation detecting means for detecting information on the situation of a mobile user including data regarding an environment of the user that varies with movement of the user. DeLorme teaches situation detecting means for detecting

Art Unit: 2165

information on the situation of a mobile user including data regarding an environment of the user that varies with movement of the user [recommended routes, course and/or expected terrain, surroundings or conditions as encountered or visualized underway, col. 22, lines 15-18; actual happenings as proposed or predicted at points or period in time, col. 33, lines 1-4, 24-27; immediate needs about available nearby for the user from a moving car, col. 73, lines 29-41; retrieving the remote TRIP user's "real time" geographic location, speed as detected and computed by the GPS, col. 75, lines 10-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to have modified Hoover's system for object-based relational distributed databases provided thereof would have incorporated the teachings of DeLorme especially data regarding the environment of mobile users for traveling activities; the motivation being to expand and enhance the versatility of Hoover's system by applying this feature in the system in order to allow the mobile user to determine the best solution in dealing with the actual happenings as proposed by the GPS as encountered underway.

As to claim 3, all the limitations of this claim have been addressed in the analysis above, and this claim is rejected on that basis.

As to claim 12, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed. DeLorme further teaches that information is a scenery information [col. 35, lines 16-45].

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 13, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed. DeLorme further teaches that said scenery information is information transmitted by each of said user terminals to said center as image information and edited at said center [col. 35, lines 16-45].

As to claim 22, all limitations of this claim have been addressed in the analysis above, and this claim is rejected on that basis.

As to claim 2, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed. Hoover further teaches that said retrieval means of said center retrieves according to said request for retrieval using information included in said terminal-side information, when a request for retrieval is included in said terminal-side information; and said process execution means of said user terminal executes a notification process for notifying of the information according to said request distributed by the center as distributed information [col. 31, lines 29-40; col. 35, lines 44-45].

As to claim 4, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed. Hoover further teaches that said database construction means adds information obtained by editing said terminal-side information to said information database as information based on said terminal-side information [col. 6, lines 61-64].

As to claim 5, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed. Hoover further teaches that said terminal side information comprises information associated with

Art Unit: 2165

predetermined entries for inferring information relevant to said request for retrieval [col. 6, lines 14-20].

As to claim 6, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed. Hoover further teaches that said terminal-side information is stored, transmitted and received in profiles in which information associated with particular entries among said predetermined entries is described [col. 31, lines 56 to col. 32, lines 13].

As to claim 7, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed. Hoover further teaches that said terminal-side information generation means of said user terminal generates said terminal-side information by inferring unknown information associated with entries of said terminal-side information based on past terminal-side information stored in memory means [col. 35, lines 40-60; col. 36, lines 5-18].

As to claim 8, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed. Hoover further teaches that said retrieval means of said center retrieves unknown information associated with entries of said transmitted terminal-side information; and said process execution means of said user terminal executes an information update process for adding information which is said unknown information retrieved by said retrieval means and which is distributed by said center as distributed information to terminal-side information stored in said memory means [replace existing data, col. 34, lines 50-64; col. 35, lines 21-30].



Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 9, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed. Hoover further teaches that said retrieval means of said center selects past terminal-side information stored in said information database which is similar to said transmitted terminal-side information and retrieves unknown pieces of information in said transmitted terminal-side information based on said selected terminal-side information [col. 35, lines 40-50].

As to claim 10, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed. Hoover further teaches that said retrieval means of said center selects past terminal-side information stored in said information database which is similar to said transmitted terminal-side information based on the degree of similarity calculated on the basis of said profiles [col. 13, lines 66 to col. 14, lines 8; col. 14, lines 53-57].

As to claim 11, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed. Hoover further teaches that said user terminals further comprising: priority setting means for setting priorities for predetermined entries of said terminal-side information based on information input with said input means [inherent in the system, see case of emergency, fig. 18C]; and query means for prompting a user to input information associated with an entry having a relatively high priority set by said priority setting means when said information does not satisfy predetermined conditions [see fig. 19A-19B].

As to claims 20 and 23, all limitations of these claims are rejected in the analysis above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

As to claims 28-30, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed. DeLorme further teaches automatically transmitting the terminal-side information to said center when a user generated request for retrieval is made [col. 11, lines 40-60; col. 75, lines 46 to col. 76, lines 6].

5. Claims 24, 26, 27 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Hoover et al.** (Hereinafter “Hoover”) U.S. Patent No. 5,724,575, in view of **DeLorme et al.** (Hereinafter “DeLorme”) U.S. Patent No. 5,948,040, and in further view of **Mueller et al.** (Hereinafter “Mueller”) US Patent No. 5,323,322.

As to claim 24, Hoover and DeLorme teach the invention substantially as claimed, with the exception of detecting vehicle compartment air conditioning data. Mueller teaches that each GPS receiver has a weather map which is frequently updated due to the temporal changes in the ionosphere and the continuous change in the orientation of the line-of-sight vector to space vehicle [col. 3, lines 57-67; col. 1, lines 29-37; col. 25, lines 56 to col. 26, lines 18]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to have modified Hoover-Delorme’s system for providing data regarding the environment of mobile users for traveling activities; the motivation being to expand and enhance the versatility of Hoover-Delorme’s system by applying this feature in the system in order to

Art Unit: 2165

allow the mobile user to determine the best solution in dealing with the actual temperature happenings as proposed by the GPS as encountered underway.

As to claims 26 and 27, all limitations of these claims have been addressed in the analysis above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

As to claims 31-33, Hoover, DeLorme, and Mueller teach the invention substantially as claimed. Delorme further teaches automatically transmitting the terminal-side information to said center when a user generated request for retrieval is made [col. 11, lines 40-60; col. 75, lines 46 to col. 76, lines 6].

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy Pardo, whose telephone number is 571-272-4082. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached at 571-272-4083.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows: (703) 872-9306 (Official Communication)

and/or:

***571-273-4082 (Use this Fax#, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "Draft" communication. Examiner may request that a formal/amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions).***

Art Unit: 2165

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

**7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

**Or:**

(703) 308-5359, (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

November 09, 2004



**THUY N. PARDO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**